This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/652,296	08/31/2000	John R. Hind	RSW9-2000-0113-US1	2854
7590 08/05/2004			EXAMINER	
Jeanine S Ray-Yarletts			HILLERY, NATHAN	
IBM Corp Dept T81 Bldg 062			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 12195			2176	·
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		T 2 ":	T 2			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	N		
		09/652,296	HIND ET AL.	0		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	4		
		Nathan Hillery	2176			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. Fr Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	s		
A SH THE I - Exter - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	pril 20 <u>04</u> .				
2a)⊠						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowarelosed in accordance with the practice under the state of t			rits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 12-20 and 30-38 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 and 21-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2015.)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	e		
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:)		

Application/Control Number: 09/652,296

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment filed on 4/30/04.

- 2. Claims 1 38 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 11, 12, 16, 18, 21, 25, 27, 30, 34, and 36 are independent.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1 11 and 21 29 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being nonstatutory has been maintained.
- 4. The objection to the claim has been withdrawn as necessitated by amendment.
- 5. The rejection of claims 36 38 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite has been withdrawn as necessitated by amendment.

Specification

6. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Application/Control Number: 09/652,296 Page 3

Art Unit: 2176

7. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it refers to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and compares the invention with the prior art. For example, "the arrays of the present invention enable optimizing the processing speed, parsing overhead..." Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 9. Claims 1 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed invention amounts to functional descriptive data stored on computer-readable media. Because the claims fail to set forth any interaction with the claimed data structures, the claimed invention is non-statutory.
- 10. Claims 21 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed invention amounts to functional descriptive data. Because the claims are means plus function, the claimed invention is considered software per se in light of the specification (page 20, lines 17 20).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 12 20 and 30 38 are allowed.
- 2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: When the claims are taken in their totality, they describe a method of representing a document, specifically XML, using arrays. The prior art specifically Jamtgaard et al. (US006430624B1) teach that in more detail, the intelligent harvester 22 may receive the content and generate a relational data structure 24 that corresponds to

Application/Control Number: 09/652,296

Art Unit: 2176

the content as described below in more detail. The data structure containing the content in a relational format in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention is a proprietary relational markup language known as RML. RML is an XML based language which has the advantage of permitting the easy mapping of the content into a tree structure by the tree synthesizer 26 so that the tree synthesizer may output a typical document object model (DOM) 28. The DOM is a common object model used to manipulate markup such as HTML such as it disclosed on the W3C web site at http://www.wc3.org. Although it is typically used for manipulating HTML or XML, it also to provides the tree structure needed by the layout engine as described below during the tree analysis functions. Generally, a tree data structure is a method for representing a hierarchy of data using tree diagrams formed from nodes and line segments between the nodes. This may be a bit confusing because the DOM's tree structure may be used for both its intended purpose of storing the HTML markup contained in atomics, but also as a way of storing relational information about those atomics ... (Column 5, line 54 -Column 6, line 10). However, the claims further describe the aforementioned method by generating various arrays for every type of component within the document and storing specific information about each type of component into the particular array.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 4/30/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. In response to Applicant's argument that claims 1 11 are statutory because it specifies "functional descriptive data stored on computer-related media" (pp 26 27), it

Application/Control Number: 09/652,296

Art Unit: 2176

should be noted that the Office states and maintains that the aforementioned claims specify nonfunctional descriptive data stored on computer-readable media.

Consequently, as stated in the previous office action and as reiterated above, claims 1 –

11 are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

5. In response to Applicant's argument that claims 21 – 29 are statutory (pp 27 – 28), it should be noted that a system may be comprised of simply software. According to the specification, "The software programming code may be embodied on any of a variety of known media..." (Specification, page 19, line 11). In light of the specification, the system recited in claims 21 – 29 may be considered software per se as explained in the previous office action and reiterated above.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2176

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Hillery whose telephone number is (703) 305-4502. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

NH